FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

JAN 17 2019

		OAKLAND DIV		Si.	ISAN Y SOONG
United States of America,)	Case No. C	2 (8-45 RORTHERI	OBTRICT COURT OBTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND
	Plaintiff, v.)		D ORDER EXCLUDI E SPEEDY TRIAL AC	
Jasp	er While Defendant(s).)))			
continuance of	as stated by the parties on the Jan-17, 2019 to Februtweigh the best interest of the Court makes this findir	ne public and th	he defendant in	a speedy trial. See 18	U.S.C. §
	Failure to grant a continuand See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(cely to result in	a miscarriage of justic	e.
	The case is so unusual or so complex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of defendants, the nature of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact or law, that it is unreasonable to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial itself within the time limits established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).				
ě	Failure to grant a continuance would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, giver counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).				
	With the consent of the defendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal cases, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first paragraph and — based on the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending the time limits for a preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for extending the 30-day time period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the exclusions set forth above). See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).				
IT IS	SO ORDERED.		1	m	
DATE	ED: 1/17/2019	-	DONNA M United State	. RYU s Magistrate Judge	
STIPU	ULATED: Attorney for Defe	ndant		ited States Attorney	